Ref: 1007/19



Please leave blank for completion by DS officers

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD

440	111	
A1 Service Area	Housing and Homelessness	
A2 Title	Rapid Rehousing Pathway Bid	
A3 Decision Taken By	Deputy Leader/ Chief Officer	
A4 Chief Officer	Pleas	
	Please sign mains.	
A5 Deputy Leader	Please print name:	
	PATRICIA ACKRUTA	
	Please	
A6 Date of Decision	29th March 2019	

Confidentiality

A7 Is this Decision confidential by containing exempt information as described in Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972?	No
A7.1 If yes, please state relevant paragraph from Schedule 12A LGA 1972.	Paragraph [

Scrutiny

A8 Which Scrutiny Committee should this decision be submitted to?	(Please tick as appropr	iate) 🗸
Scrutiny (Audit & Value for Money Council Services) Committee		
Scrutiny (Economic Growth, Communities and Health) Committee		√D′

B1 What is the Decision?	To apply to the 'Rapid Rehousing Pathway: 2019 to 2020' funding to secure revenue to supplement the Rough Sleeper Outreach Service with a dedicated 'Navigator' service which will help rough sleepers with a high level of need overcome the barriers to accessing accommodation, particularly supported accommodation. This service will be linked to a supported accommodation provider and have privileged access to bed spaces on the strength of providing a further 3 months of support to a rough sleeper on their caseload once that person is in the supported accommodation. This will supplement the existing support offering of the accommodation provider and facilitate the acceptance of a higher presenting level of individual need. The bid is attached at Appendix A, and the delivery plan is at Appendix B.
B2 What are the reasons for the Decision?	At the count in 2018 11 rough sleepers were identified, some of whom have become entrenched. Attracting this investment from central government would drive solutions specifically for this cohort to markedly reduce the number of rough sleepers.
B3 What are the contributions o Corporate Priorities?	Protecting and Strengthening Communities
4 What are the Human	There are no Human Rights issues arising from
ghts considerations?	this decision.
grito considerations:	tillo decision.

Financial Implications

B5 What are the financial implications?	The main financial issues arising from this decision are as follows:	
	 The revenue, if received, will be provided by the MHCLG pursuant to sections 31(3) and 31(4) of the Local Government Act 2003. 	
	 The revenue will then be made available for the delivery of the 'Navigator' project via the Council's procurement process. 	

Revenue	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
	£43k	£0	£0

The finance section has been approved by the following member of the Financial Management Unit:

Places sign page:

Places sign page:

Policy Framework

B6 Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's policy framework?	Yes
B6.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	NA
B6. 2 Has it got the appropriate approvals under those provisions?	Yes
B7 Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's budget?	Yes
B7.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	NA
B7.2 Has it got the appropriate approvals under those provisions?	Yes

Equalities Implications

B8 What are the Equalities implications:

B8.1 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):

The Navigator service will proactively engage with rough sleepers who
require more time and specialist intervention than is currently available via
the existing Rough Sleeper Outreach Service.

B8.2 Negative (Threats):

- None identified.
- **B8.3** The subject of this decision is not a policy, strategy, function or service that is new or being revised.
- **B8.4** The equality impact assessment identified the following actions to be carried out:

Risk Assessment

B9 What are the Risk Assessment implications:

B9.1 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):

- Support in delivering the project should the bid be successful is provided by the MHCLG specialist advisors.
- This project if successful will make significantly reduce the local rough sleeping cohort, bringing a beneficial impact to the individuals and the wider community.

B9.2 Negative (Threats):

- The bid is unsuccessful and therefore the project cannot proceed.
- The bid is successful, but there are difficulties in procurement due the short length of the contract.
- The project itself does not deliver the outcomes that are expected and rough sleeping does not reduce.

B9.3 The risks do not need to be entered in the Risk Register. Any financial implications to mitigate against these risks are considered above.

Legal Considerations

B10 What are the Legal Considerations:

B10.1 The main legal issues arising from this decision are as follows:

- The revenue, if received, will be provided by the MHCLG pursuant to sections 31(3) and 31(4) of the Local Government Act 2003.
- The service will be commissioned in accordance with the Council's Procurement Strategy.

This section has been approved by the following member of the Legal Team:

Please print name:

ANGELA WAKEFIELD

Please sign name

Sustainability Implications

B11 What are the Sustainability implications:

B11.1 The proposal would not result in an overall positive effect in terms of sustainability (including climate change and change adaptation measures). The positive/negative impacts are set out below (please refer to guidance notes).

B11.2 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits): NA

B11.3 Negative (Threats): NA		

Health & Safety Implications

B12 What are the Health & Safety implications:

B12.1 A Risk Assessment has not been carried out and entered into Harriet for all significant hazards and risks because there are no significant hazards or risks arising from this decision.

Key Decision

B13 Is this a Key Decision?	No	
Note: A Key Executive Decision is one where:		
1. REVENUE – Any contract or proposal with an annual payment or saving of more than £100,000		
 CAPITAL – Any capital project with a value in excess of £150,000 A decision which significantly affects communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards. 		
B13.1 If this is a Key Decision, is this an urgent decision such that a delay caused by use of the Call-in Procedure would seriously prejudice the public interest?	NA	
B13.2 If yes, has the Mayor or in his/her absence the Deputy Mayor or in his/her absence the Chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee agreed that the decision will be exempt from Call-in?		

NOTE: If this decision is subject to the Call-in Procedure it will come into force, and may then be implemented, on the expiry of 3 working days after publication – unless 10 Members of the Council call in the decision.

Please send the original signed document to andrea.davies@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk