

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD

REF No 277/21

A1 Service Area	Environment and Housing
A2 Title	Extension of 2020/21 Rough Sleeping Initiative Contracts by 3 months
A3 Decision Taken By	Deputy Leader/ Chief Officer
A4 Chief Officer	Please print name: Sal Khan
	Please sign name: Approval by email 090421
A5 Leader / Deputy Leader	Please print name: Cllr Ray Faulkner
	Please sign name: Approved by email 090421
A6 Date of Decision	9 April 2021

Confidentiality

A7 Is this Decision confidential by containing exempt information as described in Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972?	No
A7.1 If yes, please state relevant paragraph from Schedule 12A LGA 1972.	NA

Scrutiny

A8 Which Scrutiny Committee should this decision be submitted to?

Scrutiny (Audit & Value for Money Council Services) Committee

Scrutiny (Community Regeneration, Environment and Health and Well Being)

Committee

B1 What is the Decision?	Following correspondence from the Minister at Appendix 1, the '2021/22 Rough Sleeping Initiative Fund' will commence on 1 July 2021 and current funding arrangements will continue until then. Therefore pending the outcome of the 2021/22 funding application, the Council needs to:
	 Extend the Housing First Intervention for a further 3 months until 30 June 2021 Extend the Navigator Service for a further 3 months until 30 June 2021
B2 What are the reasons for the Decision?	The measures detailed above are currently in operation, and have been shown to be effective with the most recent rough sleeper count producing a result of zero. ¹
B3 What are the contributions to Corporate Priorities?	Protecting and Strengthening Communities
B4 What are the Human Rights considerations?	There are no Human Rights issues arising from this decision.

Financial Implications

B5 What are the financial implications?	The main financial issues arising from this decision are as follows:
	 The MHCLG has guaranteed funding for existing interventions from 1 April 2021 to end June 2021, this will automatically be paid to the Council in April 2021 (Appendix 2). The MHCLG have confirmed the amounts payable and requirements attached to the funding (Appendix 5). The service agreement will state that the service provider will only receive payment when the funding is received from the MHCLG. The revenue will be provided by the MHCLG pursuant to sections 31(3) and 31(4) of the Local Government Act 2003.

¹ Whilst the listed projects have been vital to the reduction in rough sleeping, there are a number of other important factors.

Revenue	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23
Housing First Intervention	£0	£12,000	£0
Navigator Service	£0	£10,750	£0

The finance section has been approved by the following member of the Financial Management Unit:	Please print name: Anya Murray
	Please sign name: Approved by email 080421

Policy Framework

B6 Is the Decision wholly in accordance	Yes
with the Council's policy framework?	
B6.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency	NA I
,	
provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	
B6. 2 Has it got the appropriate approvals	Yes
under those provisions?	
·	
B7 Is the Decision wholly in accordance	Yes
with the Council's budget?	
B7.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency	NA
provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	
B7.2 Has it got the appropriate approvals	Yes
under those provisions?	
under those provisions:	

Equalities Implications

B8 What are the Equalities implications:

B8.1 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):

- Through engagement with residents of the Housing First it is anticipated that the services will assist reintegration for those that have become excluded, although this is likely to be a lengthy process in most cases.
- The Navigator service will proactively engage with rough sleepers who require more time and specialist intervention than is currently available via the existing Rough Sleeper Outreach Service.

B8.2 Negative (Threats):

None identified.

B8.3 The subject of this decision is not a policy, strategy, function or service that is new or being revised. An equality impact assessment is not required.

B8.4 The equality impact assessment identified the following actions to be carried out: NA

Risk Assessment

B9 What are the Risk Assessment implications:

B9.1 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):

- Utilises MHCLG income for the purpose it was intended.
- Facilitates the seamless continuation should the 2021/22 RSI bid be successful.

B9.2 Negative (Threats):

None identified.

B9.3 The risks do not need to be entered in the Risk Register. Any financial implications to mitigate against these risks are considered above.

Legal Considerations

B10 What are the Legal Considerations:

B10.1 The main legal issues arising from this decision are as follows:

- The revenue will be provided by the MHCLG pursuant to sections 31(3) and 31(4) of the Local Government Act 2003.
- The services will be commissioned in accordance with the Council's exemption to contract procedures (Appendix 3 and 4).

This section has been approved by the following member of the Legal Team Please print name: Linda J Durham

Please sign name

Also approval by email 020321

Sustainability Implications

B11 What are the Sustainability implications:	
B11.1 The proposal would not result in an overall posustainability (including climate change and change	
B11.2 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits): NA	
B11.3 Negative (Threats): NA	

Health & Safety Implications

B12 What are the Health & Safety implications:
B12.1 A Risk Assessment has not been carried out and entered into Safety Media for all significant hazards and risks because there are no significant hazards or risks arising from this decision. B12.2 NA B12.3 NA B12.3.1 Positive (Benefits): NA
B12.3.2 Negative (Threats): NA

Key Decision

B13 Is this a Key Decision? No

Note: A Key Executive Decision is one where:

- 1. REVENUE Any contract or proposal with an annual payment or saving of more than £100,000
- 2. CAPITAL Any capital project with a value in excess of £150,000
- 3. A decision which significantly affects communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards.

B13.1 If this is a Key Decision, is this an urgent decision such that a delay caused by use of the Call-in Procedure would <u>seriously</u> prejudice the public interest?	NA
B13.2 If yes, has the Mayor or in his/her absence the Deputy Mayor or in his/her absence the Chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee agreed that the decision will be exempt from Call-in?	NA

NOTE: If this decision is subject to the Call-in Procedure it will come into force, and may then be implemented, on the expiry of 3 working days after publication – unless 10 Members of the Council call in the decision.

Please send the original signed document to andrea.davies@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk