

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD

REF No 391.21

A1 Service Area	Regulatory Services
A2 Title	Stray Dogs Contract Extension
A3 Decision Taken By	Deputy Leader/ Chief Officer
A4 Chief Officer	Please print name: Mark Rizk
	Please sign name: Approval by email 230322
A5 Leader / Deputy Leader	Please print name: Cllr Bernard Peters
	Please sign name: Approval by email 230322
A6 Date of Decision	23 rd March 2022

Confidentiality

A7 Is this Decision confidential by containing exempt information as described in Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972?	No
A7.1 If yes, please state relevant paragraph from Schedule 12A LGA 1972.	N/A

Scrutiny

A8 Which Scrutiny Committee should this decision be submitted to? (Please tick as appropriate)

Scrutiny (Audit & Value for Money Council Services) Committee X

Scrutiny (Community Regeneration, Environment and Health and Well Being) Committee

B1 What is the Decision?	To extend the Stray Dogs contract by a further 2 years as per the option in the contract.
B2 What are the reasons for the Decision?	Noah's Ark Environmental have provided the Council with a comprehensive service since April 2015 and with the recent contract since April 2018. During this time, Noah's Ark Environmental have demonstrated a well-structured and customer friendly approach to managing stray dogs in East Staffordshire.
	Although the initial 3 year contract expired April 2021 there has been no break in service delivery of the contract during the pandemic months to date.
	Operating since 2004, Noah's Ark Environmental have a wealth of knowledge and experience in this specialised field. They serve an extensive client base including other local authorities.
	Extending the contract to its full term of April 2023 by taking up the extension option we will be able to achieve a seamless service with no anticipated impact on service users.
	The Terms and Conditions remain the same along with the costs so financially and contractually it remains value for money.
	The nature of the service is such that there are no other businesses (that are known) in this field, the same as last time the service went to tender.
B3 What are the contributions to Corporate Priorities?	Value for Money Council
B4 What are the Human Rights considerations?	There are no Human Rights issues arising from this decision.

Financial Implications

B5 What are the financial implications?	The MTFS provides for a total budget of £7k based on average contract expenditure over several years reflecting the variable nature of the work in involved.
	There are no financial implications from extending the contract on the same terms and conditions.

Revenue	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24
N/A			
Capital	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24

The finance section has been approved by the following member of the Financial Management Unit:	Please print name: Anya Murray
	Please sign name: Approval by email 230322

Policy Framework

B6 Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's policy framework?	Yes
B6.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	N/A
B6. 2 Has it got the appropriate approvals	N/A
under those provisions? B7 Is the Decision wholly in accordance	Yes
with the Council's budget? B7.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency	N/A
provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	
B7.2 Has it got the appropriate approvals under those provisions?	N/A

Equalities Implications

B8 What are the Equalities implications: N/A

B8.3 The subject of this decision is not a policy, strategy, function or service that is new or being revised.

Risk Assessment

B9 What are the Risk Assessment implications: N/A

B9.3 The risks do not need to be entered in the Risk Register. Any financial implications to mitigate against these risks are considered above.

Legal Considerations

B10 What are the Legal Considerations:

B10.1 As stated in the Report, the Council have an option in the Contract to extend the original term for a further period of 2 years.

Alternatively, the Council could decide not to exercise the option and re-tender the Service if this was more commercially advantageous.

This section has been approved by the following member of the Legal Team Please print name: Glen McCusker – Interim Solicitor.

Please sign name: Approval by email 230322

Sustainability Implications

B11 What are the Sustainability implications: N/A

Health & Safety Implications

B12 What are the Health & Safety implications: N/A

B12.1 A Risk Assessment has not been carried out and entered into Safety Media for all significant hazards and risks because there are no significant hazards or risks arising from this decision.

Key Decision

B13 Is this a Key Decision? No

Note: A Key Executive Decision is one where:

- 1. REVENUE Any contract or proposal with an annual payment or saving of more than £100,000
- 2. CAPITAL Any capital project with a value in excess of £150,000
- 3. A decision which significantly affects communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards.

B13.1 If this is a Key Decision, is this an urgent decision such that a delay caused by use of the Call-in Procedure would <u>seriously</u> prejudice the public interest?	N/A
B13.2 If yes, has the Mayor or in his/her absence the Deputy Mayor or in his/her absence the Chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee agreed that the decision will be exempt from Call-in?	N/A

NOTE: If this decision is subject to the Call-in Procedure it will come into force, and may then be implemented, on the expiry of 3 working days after publication – unless 10 Members of the Council call in the decision.

Please send the original signed document to andrea.davies@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk