

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD

REF No EDR 464.22.

A1 Service Area	Leader of the Council and Economic Growth
A2 Title	Commissioning of Architect support to develop the Maltings car park in Uttoxeter
A3 Decision Taken By	Leader/Chief Officer
A4 Chief Officer	Please print name: Thomas Deery Please sign name: Approval by email 210922
A5 Leader / Deputy Leader	Please print name: Cllr George Allen Please sign name: Approval by email 210922
A6 Date of Decision	

Confidentiality

A7 Is this Decision confidential by containing exempt information as described in Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972?	No
A7.1 If yes, please state relevant paragraph from Schedule 12A LGA 1972.	

Scrutiny

A8 Which Scrutiny Committee should this decision be submitted to? (*Please tick as appropriate*) ✓

- Scrutiny Community Regeneration Committee ✓
- Scrutiny Environment and Health and Well Being Committee
- Scrutiny Value for Money Council Services Committee

B1 What is the Decision?	To approve the budget for architect support to inform public consultation which will shape the regeneration of the Maltings in Uttoxeter.
B2 What are the reasons for the Decision?	The 2022/23 Corporate Plan identified a target to conduct consultation with residents on proposals to regenerate the Maltings. A key step in this is to commission architects to develop scheme options and sketches to use to inform this consultation. The procurement exercise has now concluded and so this decision confirms the allocation of a budget in order to appoint the preferred supplier.
B3 What are the contributions to Corporate Priorities?	This relates to Corporate Plan target CR29.
B4 What are the Human Rights considerations?	There are no Human Rights issues arising from this decision.

Financial Implications

B5 What are the financial implications?	The main financial issues arising from this decision are as follows: It is proposed that this is funded through the UKSPF allocation to the regeneration of Uttoxeter, however this will not be available until later in the year. As such, in the interim, it is proposed that the Levelling Up Fund capacity funding is used until the UKSPF funding is released to the Council.
--	--

Revenue	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25
Architect support	£15, 480		

Capital	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25

The finance section has been approved by the following member of the Financial Management Unit:	Please print name: Lisa Turner Please sign name: Approval by email 200922
---	--

Policy Framework

B6 Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's policy framework?	Yes
B6.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	N/A
B6.2 Has it got the appropriate approvals under those provisions?	N/A
B7 Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's budget?	Yes
B7.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	N/A
B7.2 Has it got the appropriate approvals under those provisions?	N/A

Equalities Implications

B8 What are the Equalities implications:
B8.1 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):
B8.2 Negative (Threats):
B8.3 The subject of this decision is not a policy, strategy, function or service that is new or being revised. An equality impact assessment is not required.
B8.4 [The equality impact assessment identified the following actions to be carried out:]

Risk Assessment

B9 What are the Risk Assessment implications:
B9.1 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits): The opportunity to take forward the development of the Maltings, helping to regenerate Uttoxeter.
B9.2 Negative (Threats):
B9.3 The risks do not need to be entered in the Risk Register. Any financial implications to mitigate against these risks are considered above.

Legal Considerations

B10 What are the Legal Considerations: There are no significant legal issues arising from this report.
This section has been approved by the following member of the Legal Team
Please print name: John Teasdale
Please sign name: Approval by email 200922

Sustainability Implications

B11 What are the Sustainability implications:
B11.1 The proposal would not result in an overall positive effect in terms of sustainability (including climate change and change adaptation measures). The positive/negative impacts are set out below (please refer to guidance notes).
B11.2 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):
B11.3 Negative (Threats):

Health & Safety Implications

B12 What are the Health & Safety implications:
B12.1 A Risk Assessment has not been carried out and entered into Safety Media for all significant hazards and risks because there are no significant hazards or risks arising from this decision.
B12.2 [The significant hazards and risks have been identified in the Safety Media Risk Assessment numbered []. Any financial implications to mitigate against these hazards and risks are considered above.]
B12.3 [Control measures and an action plan have been identified for any significant hazards and risks identified in the risk assessment. The positive/negative impacts are set out below]
B12.3.1 Positive (Benefits)
B12.3.2 Negative (Threats)

Key Decision

B13 Is this a Key Decision? No

Note: A Key Executive Decision is one where:

1. **REVENUE** – Any contract or proposal with an annual payment or saving of more than £100,000
2. **CAPITAL** – Any capital project with a value in excess of £150,000
3. A decision which significantly affects communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards.

B13.1 If this is a Key Decision, is this an urgent decision such that a delay caused by use of the Call-in Procedure would <u>seriously</u> prejudice the public interest?	NA
B13.2 If yes, has the Mayor or in his/her absence the Deputy Mayor or in his/her absence the Chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee agreed that the decision will be exempt from Call-in?	NA

NOTE: If this decision is subject to the Call-in Procedure it will come into force, and may then be implemented, on the expiry of 3 working days after publication – unless 10 Members of the Council call in the decision.

Please send the original signed document to andrea.davies@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk