Ref: 722/16



Please leave blank for completion by DS officers

EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD

EXECUTIVE BEGINGING RECORD		
A1 Service Area	Regulatory Services	
A2 Title	Public Space Protection Orders for of Dogs	
A3 Decision Taken By	Leader/ Deputy Leader/ Chief Offi	cer
A4 Chief Officer	Please print name: Paul Costiff	
	Please sign name:	
A5 Leader / Deputy Leader	Please print name: Cllr Richard G	irosvenor
	Please sign nam	
A6 Date of Decision	24 October 2016	
	Confidentiality	
A7 Is this Decision confidential by containing exempt information as described in Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972?	No	
A7.1 If yes, please state relevant paragraph from Schedule 12A LGA 1972.	N/A	
	Scrutiny	
A8 Which Scrutiny Committee should this decision be submitted to?	(Please tick as appropri	ate) 🗸
Scrutiny (Promoting Local Econ	omic Growth) Committee	
Scrutiny (Value for Money Cour	ncil Services) Committee	

Scrutiny (Protecting and Strengthening Communities) Committee

Scrutiny (East Staffordshire Health) Committee

B1 What is the Decision?	To make a Public Space Protection Order (PSPO) for the purpose of implementing dog control powers.
B2 What are the reasons for the Decision?	The Council's current Dog Control Orders will cease to have effect in October 2107 under the provisions of the Anti Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 ("the Act"). The PSPO will incorporate the existing dog controls as well as additional controls, the need for which has been identified following consultation. Under section 59 of the Act, the Council can make a PSPO if it is satisfied on reasonable grounds that two conditions are met. The first condition is that— (a) activities carried on in a public place within the authority's area have had a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality, or (b) it is likely that activities will be carried on in a public place within that area and that they will have such an effect. The second condition is that the effect, or likely effect, of the activities— (a) is, or is likely to be, of a persistent or continuing nature, (b) is, or is likely to be, such as to make the activities unreasonable, and (c) justifies the restrictions imposed by the notice. The prohibitions and requirements to be imposed by the PSPO are reasonable to impose in order— (a) to prevent the detrimental effect referred to in subsection (2) from continuing, occurring or recurring, or (b) to reduce that detrimental effect or to reduce the risk of its continuance, occurrence or recurrence.
B3 What are the contributions to Corporate Priorities?	Protecting and Strengthening Communities
B4 What are the Human Rights considerations?	There are no Human Rights issues arising from this decision.

Financial Implications

B5 What are the financial implications?	The main financial issues arising from this decision are as follows:
	To be met with existing budget.

Revenue	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
Budget	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
0.00000	7k		

The finance section has been approved by	Lisa Turner
the following member of the Financial	V-
Management Unit:	

Policy Framework

B6 Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's policy framework?	Yes/No (please delete as appropriate)
B6.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency	Yes/No/NA (please delete as
provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	appropriate)
B6. 2 Has it got the appropriate approvals	Yes/No NA(please delete as
under those provisions?	appropriate)
B7 Is the Decision wholly in accordance	Yes/ No -(please delete as
with the Council's budget?	appropriate)
B7.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency	Yes/No/NA (please delete as
provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	appropriate)
B7.2 Has it got the appropriate approvals	Yes/No-NA (please delete as
under those provisions?	appropriate)

Equalities Implications

B8 What are the Equalities implications:
B8.1 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):
B8.2 Negative (Threats):
B8.3 The subject of this decision is not a policy, strategy, function or service that is new or being revised. An equality impact assessment is not required.
B8.4 [The equality impact assessment identified the following actions to be carried out:]

Risk Assessment

B9 What are the Risk Assessment implications:

B9.1 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):

The PSPO's will assist with the continued dog fouling issues the CCEO's face when patrolling the borough and will support responsible dog ownership.

B9.2 Negative (Threats):

B9.3 The risks do not need to be entered in the Risk Register. Any financial implications to mitigate against these risks are considered above.

Legal Considerations

B10 What are the Legal Considerations:

B10.1 The main legal issues arising from this decision are as follows:

- 1.1. The power to make Public Space Protection Orders is derived from the Anti Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 ("the Act"). Public Space Protection Orders have effect for a period of three years. There is provision for a PSPO to be extended for a further three years, and on more than one occasion.
- 1.2. The Council has satisfied itself that the conditions stipulated at section 59 (2) and s59 (3) of the Act are met and has consulted with the Police, the Police and Crime Commissioner and other bodies. The Council is also satisfied that the prohibitions and requirements imposed by the PSPO are reasonable measures to deal with the anti-social behaviour identified.

This section has been approved by the following member of the Legal Team:

Angela Wakefield

1

Sustainability Implications

B11 What are the Sustainability implications: N/A

B11.1 The proposal would/would not] result in an overall positive effect in terms of sustainability (including climate change and change adaptation measures). The positive/negative impacts are set out below (please refer to guidance notes).

B11.2 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):

B11.3 Negative (Threats):

Health & Safety Implications

B12 What are the Health & Safety implications:

B12.1 A Risk Assessment has not been carried out and entered into Harriet for all significant hazards and risks because there are no significant hazards or risks arising from this decision.

B12.2 [The significant hazards and risks have been identified in the Harriett Risk Assessment numbered []. Any financial implications to mitigate against these hazards and risks are considered above.]

B12.3 [Control measures and an action plan have been identified for any significant hazards and risks identified in the risk assessment. The positive/negative impacts are set out below]

B12.3.1 Positive (Benefits)

B12.3.2 Negative (Threats)

Key Decision

B13 Is this a Key Decision?	No	
Note: A Key Executive Decision is one where: 1. REVENUE – Any contract or proposal with an annual payment or saving of more than £100,000 2. CAPITAL – Any capital project with a value in excess of £150,000 3. A decision which significantly affects communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards.		
B13.1 If this is a Key Decision, is this an urgent decision such that a delay caused by use of the Call-in Procedure would seriously prejudice the public interest?	NA	
B13.2 If yes, has the Mayor or in his/her absence the Deputy Mayor or in his/her absence the Chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee agreed that the decision will be exempt from Call-in?	NA	

NOTE: If this decision is subject to the Call-in Procedure it will come into force, and may then be implemented, on the expiry of 3 working days after publication – unless 10 Members of the Council call in the decision.

Please send the original signed document to andrea.davies@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk