Ref: 635/16

Executive Decision Record

Please leave blank for completion by DS officers

A1 Service Area:
Planning
A2 Title
Making the Tatenhill and Rangemore Neighbourhood Plan and Stretton Neighbourhood Plan.
A3 Decision Taken By
Leader and Chief Officer (please delete as appropriate)
A4 Chief Officer
Please print nam Sal/Khan
Please sign
A5 Leader/Deputy Leader
Please print name Cllr Richard Grosvenor Please s
A6 Date of Decision
1st February 2016
A7 Is this Decision confidential by containing exempt information as described in Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972?
No
A7.1 If yes, please state relevant paragraph from Schedule 12A LGA 1972. Paragraph []
A8 Which Scrutiny Committee should this decision be submitted to? (please tick as appropriate)
Scrutiny (Promoting Local Economic Growth) Committee
Scrutiny (Value for Money Council Services) Committee
Scrutiny (Protecting and Strengthening Communities) Committee ✓

B1 What is the Decision?

To officially 'make' or adopt the Tatenhill and Rangemore and Stretton Neighbourhood Plans, after successful referenda on 28th January 2016.

B2 What are the reasons for the Decision?

The Tatenhill and Rangemore and Stretton Neighbourhood Plans were subject to referenda on Thursday 28th January 2016. In the referenda the question asked was:

Do you want East Staffordshire Borough Council to use the neighbourhood plan for Stretton/Tatenhill and Rangemore to help it decide planning applications in the neighbourhood area?

The results of the referenda in the two parishes were as follows:

Tatenhill and Rangemore:

251 votes cast 230 'yes' 21 'no' 0 rejected 91.63% said yes Turnout 45.71% (of 549 eligible to vote)

Stretton:

2005 votes cast 1914 'yes' 88 'no' 3 rejected 95.46% voted yes Turnout 30.04% (of 6674 eligible to vote)

Both Referenda results were a 'yes' vote of more than 50% therefore in accordance with section 38A(4) of the 2004 Act (and Regulation 19 of the Neighbourhood Planning regulations 2012) the local planning authority must publish a decision statement setting out the decision (please see the separate 'decision statement') and the reasons for making that decision. The LPA must also send a copy of the decision statement to the qualifying body and any person who asked to be notified of the decision.

Regulation 20 of the neighbourhood planning regulations states that the LPA must publish the neighbourhood plan on their website and details of where a copy can be inspected.

The questions contained in this questionnaire are not to be altered in any way. If you have any queries regarding the contents of this document, please contact Andrea Davies Ext 1306 or refer to Part 3 Section 6 of the Constitution.

B3 What are the contributions to Corporate Priorities?

Neighbourhood Plans will form part of the statutory development plan for the borough when 'made' and as such will form part of the planning framework used for decision making on planning applications made in the Neighbourhood Plan areas. It is therefore of relevance to the Corporate Plan priority of 'Protecting and Strengthening Communities – love where you live'.

B4 What are the Human Rights considerations?

There are no Human Rights issues arising from this decision.

B5 What are the financial implications?

There are no financial issues arising from this decision. All Neighbourhood Planning expenses are paid for using DCLG Neighbourhood Planning grant funding.

Revenue	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
Capital	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
- Cupital	2010/10		H

B6 Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's policy framework?

Yes

B6.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?

Yes/No (please delete as appropriate)

AND

B6.2 Has it got the appropriate approvals under those provisions?

Yes/No (please delete as appropriate)

B7 Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's budget?

Yes

B7.1 If No, does it fall within the urgency provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?

Yes/No (please delete as appropriate)

AND

B7.2 Has it got the appropriate approvals under those provisions?

Yes/No (please delete as appropriate)

B8 What are the Equalities implications?

B8.1 The subject of this decision is not a policy, strategy, function or service that is new or being revised. An equality impact assessment is not required.

B8.2

The questions contained in this questionnaire are not to be altered in any way. If you have any queries regarding the contents of this document, please contact Andrea Davies Ext 1306 or refer to Part 3 Section 6 of the Constitution.

B9 What are the Risk Assessment implications?
B9.1 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):
B9.2 Negative (Threats):
B9.3 The risks do not need to be entered in the Risk Register.
B10 What are the Legal Considerations?
B10.1 ESBC has a legal obligation (via the 2004 Act and NP regulations) to take the decision to 'make' a Neighbourhood Plan should it get over 50% 'yes' votes at referendum.
B11 What are the Sustainability implications?
B11.1 There are no sustainability issues, as this EDR is clarifying a terminology inaccuracy in a published document.
B11.2 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):
B11.3 Negative (Threats):
B12 What are the Health & Safety implications?
B12.1 A Risk Assessment has not been carried out and entered into Harriet for all significant hazards and risks because there are no significant hazards or risks arising from this decision.
B12.2
B12.3
B12.3.1 Positive (Benefits)
B12.3.2 Negative (Threats)
B13. Is this a Key Decision?

The questions contained in this questionnaire are not to be altered in any way. If you have any queries regarding the contents of this document, please contact Andrea Davies Ext 1306 or refer to Part 3 Section 6 of the Constitution.

No

Note A Key Executive Decision is one where:

- 1. REVENUE Any contract or proposal with an annual payment or saving of more than £100,000
- 2. CAPITAL Any capital project with a value in excess of £150,000
- 3. A decision which significantly affects communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards.
 - B13.1 If this is a Key Decision, is this an urgent decision such that a delay caused by use of the Call-in Procedure would <u>seriously</u> prejudice the public interest?

Yes/No (please delete as appropriate)

B13.2 If Yes, has the Mayor or in his/her absence the Deputy Mayor or in his/her absence the Chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee agreed that the decision will be exempt from Call-in?

Yes/No (please delete as appropriate)

NOTE: If this decision is subject to the Call-in Procedure it will come into force, and may then be implemented, on the expiry of 3 working days after publication – unless 10 Members of the Council call in the decision.

Please send the original signed document to Andrea Davies, Democratic Services.